

Rational points on symmetric powers and categorical representability

Saša Novaković

April 2017

Abstract. In this paper we observe that for geometrically integral projective varieties X , admitting a full weak exceptional collection consisting of pure vector bundles, the existence of a k -rational point implies $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$. We also study the symmetric power $S^n(X)$ of a Brauer–Severi variety over $\mathbb{R}$ and prove that the equivariant derived category $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$ admits a full weak exceptional collection. As a consequence, we find $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{rdim}(D_{S_n}^b(X^n)) = 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq 3$ and that the existence of a $\mathbb{R}$ -rational point on X or $S^3(X)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{rdim}(D_{S_3}^b(X^3)) = 0$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Brauer–Severi varieties	3
3. Semiorthogonal decomposition and exceptional collections	3
4. Descent for vector bundles	4
5. Noncommutative motives of central simple and separable algebras	5
6. Proofs of the results	6
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

When the base field k is not algebraically closed, the existence of k -rational points on X (being a necessary condition for rationality) is a major open problem in arithmetic geometry. In [8] Auel and Bernardara formulated the following question, actually posed by H. Esnault:

Question 1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k . Can the bounded derived category $D^b(X)$ detect the existence of a k -rational point on X ?*

This question is now central for arithmetic aspects of the theory of derived categories, see [8], [1], [2], [5], [6], [7], [18], [23] [29] and [30]. In the present work we use a potential measure for rationality to study Question 1.1 in some special cases. In [11] Bernardara and Bolognesi introduced the notion of categorical representability. Below we use the definition given in [9]. So a k -linear triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be *representable in dimension m* if there is a semiorthogonal decomposition (see Section 2 for the definition) $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ there exists a smooth projective connected variety Y_i with $\dim(Y_i) \leq m$, such that $\mathcal{A}_i$ is equivalent to an admissible subcategory of $D^b(Y_i)$ (see [9]). We use the following notation

$$\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) := \min\{m \mid \mathcal{T} \text{ is representable in dimension } m\},$$

whenever such a finite m exists. Let X be a smooth projective k -variety. One says X is *representable in dimension m* if $D^b(X)$ is representable in dimension m . We will use the following notation:

$$\mathrm{rdim}(X) := \mathrm{rdim}(D^b(X)).$$

Quite recently, it has been shown that certain varieties X admit k -rational points if and only if $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$, see [8], [29] and [30]. Among these varieties are certain Fano varieties having full weak exceptional collections. For arbitrary varieties admitting full weak exceptional collections, we observe the following:

Theorem (Theorem 6.3). *Let X be a projective variety over a field k with $H^0(X^s, \mathcal{O}_{X^s}) = k^s$ and assume $D^b(X)$ admits a full weak exceptional collection consisting of pure vector bundles. If $X(k) \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$.*

Recall that a Fano variety X of dimension 1 is a Brauer–Severi curve. In this case $D^b(X)$ has a full weak exceptional collection consisting of pure vector bundles. Results in [29] show that for finite products Y of Brauer–Severi varieties, $Y(k) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\text{rdim}(Y) = 0$. Del Pezzo surfaces S admitting a full weak exceptional collection consisting of pure vector bundles, or more generally admitting a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$D^b(S) = \langle D^b(l_1/k, A_1), \dots, D^b(l_n/k, A_n) \rangle,$$

where l_i/k are field extensions and A_i suitable central simple algebras over l_i must be of degree $d \geq 5$ (see [8]). In any of these cases, Auel and Bernardara [8] proved $S(k) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\text{rdim}(S) = 0$. Fano threefolds X such as Brauer–Severi varieties or twisted forms of quadrics also admit full weak exceptional collections, respectively semiorthogonal decompositions of the form

$$D^b(X) = \langle D^b(l_1/k, A_1), \dots, D^b(l_n/k, A_n) \rangle.$$

The results in [29] show that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$. For the contrary, with the help of [12], Proposition 1.7 one can cook up examples of anisotropic quadrics admitting full exceptional collections which are not rational and have no k -rational points. So there are examples of Fano varieties X for which $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$ does not imply the existence of a k -rational point. Motivated by these examples, we want to ask the following question.

Question 1.2. *Let X be a smooth Fano variety over a field k . Suppose $D^b(X)$ admits a full weak exceptional collection consisting of pure vector bundle, or more generally a semiorthogonal decomposition of the form*

$$D^b(X) = \langle D^b(l_1/k, A_1), \dots, D^b(l_n/k, A_n) \rangle,$$

where l_i/k are field extensions and A_i suitable central simple algebras over l_i . Is there any characterization of smooth Fano varieties X , for which $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$ implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$?

The main goal of the present paper is to modify Question 1.1 by studying singular projective varieties. However, one has to seek for the "right" analogue of the derived category $D^b(X)$. In Section 6 we study symmetric powers of Brauer–Severi varieties X over $\mathbb{R}$ and its associated equivariant derived category $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$. This consideration is motivated by a paper of Krashen and Saltman [21] in which they studied the question whether for Brauer–Severi varieties X the rationality of the symmetric power $S^n(X)$ implies rationality of X . In this context we also want to mention a paper of Kollár [20] where products of symmetric powers of a Brauer–Severi variety are classified up-to stable birational equivalence. In the present work we want to shed light on the existence of rational points on $S^n(X)$ from a derived point of view by using categorical representability. Notice that in some cases the existence of a rational point on $S^n(X)$ forces X to be rational and we can relate $\text{rdim}(X)$ to $\text{rdim}(D_{S_n}^b(X^n))$. We first show the following result which is not a surprise and follows from available results and techniques in the literature.

Theorem (Theorem 6.5). *Let X be a Brauer–Severi variety over $\mathbb{R}$. Then $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$ admits a full weak exceptional collection.*

With the help of Theorem 6.5, we can prove the following:

Theorem (Theorem 6.7). *Let X be a Brauer–Severi variety over $\mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq n \leq 3$. We set $\mathcal{T} := D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$,
- (ii) $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$.

The proof of Theorem 6.7 in particular shows that the implication $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ holds for arbitrary positive integers n . As a consequence of the latter result we find:

Corollary 1.3 (Corollary 6.9). *Let X be a Brauer–Severi variety over $\mathbb{R}$ corresponding to A and assume $3 < \deg(A)$. Then $S^3(X)(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$.*

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank Zinovy Reichstein for answering questions and providing me with literature for group actions on central simple algebras. I also like to thank Asher Auel, Marcello Bernardara and Michele Bolognesi, whose articles inspired me to deal with this subject.

Notations. If X is a k -variety, we will denote by $D^b(X)$ the bounded derived category of complexes of coherent sheaves on X . Notice that $D^b(X)$ is a k -linear category. Let B be an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra, we will denote by $D^b(X, B)$ the bounded derived category of complexes of B -modules, considered as a k -linear category. For $X = \mathrm{Spec}(K)$ and B associated to a K -algebra, we will write $D^b(K/k, B)$. Also $D^b(K, B)$ is shorthand for $D^b(K/K, B)$.

2. BRAUER–SEVERI VARIETIES

A *Brauer–Severi variety* of dimension n is a k -variety X such that $X \otimes_k L \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ for a finite field extension L/k . An extension L/k for which $X \otimes_k L \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ is called *splitting field* of X . In fact, every Severi–Brauer variety always splits over a finite separable field extension of k (see [16], Corollary 5.1.4) and therefore over a finite Galois extension. It follows from descent theory that X is projective, integral and smooth over k . Via Galois cohomology, isomorphism classes of n -dimensional Severi–Brauer varieties are in one-to-one correspondence with isomorphism classes of central simple k -algebras of degree $n+1$. If A is a central simple algebra, we will write $BS(A)$ for the corresponding Brauer–Severi variety.

Recall, a finite-dimensional k -algebra A is called *central simple* if it is an associative k -algebra that has no two-sided ideals other than 0 and A and if its center equals k . Note that A is a central simple if and only if there is a finite field extension L/k , such that $A \otimes_k L \simeq M_n(L)$ (see [16], Theorem 2.2.1). An extension L/k such that $A \otimes_k L \simeq M_n(L)$ is called *splitting field* for A . The *degree* of a central simple algebra A is defined to be $\deg(A) := \sqrt{\dim_k A}$. According to the *Wedderburn Theorem* for any central simple k -algebra A there is an integer $n > 0$ and a division algebra D , such that $A \simeq M_n(D)$. The division algebra D is also central and unique up to isomorphism. The degree of the unique central division algebra D is called the *index* of A and is denoted by $\mathrm{ind}(A)$.

Two central simple k -algebras $A \simeq M_n(D)$ and $B \simeq M_m(D')$ are called *Brauer-equivalent* if $D \simeq D'$. The *Brauer group* $\mathrm{Br}(k)$ of a field k is the group whose elements are equivalence classes of central simple k -algebras, with addition given by the tensor product of algebras. It is an abelian group with inverse of the equivalence class $[A]$ being $[A^{op}]$. The neutral element is $[k]$. It is a fact that the Brauer group of any field is a torsion group. The order of an equivalence class $[A] \in \mathrm{Br}(k)$ is called the *period* of $[A]$ and is denoted by $\mathrm{per}(A)$. For instance if $k = \mathbb{R}$, the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{R})$ is cyclic of order two and generated by the equivalence class of the Hamilton quaternions $\mathbb{H}$.

3. SEMIORTHOGONAL DECOMPOSITION AND EXCEPTIONAL COLLECTIONS

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{A}$ a triangulated subcategory. The subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ is called *thick* if it is closed under isomorphisms and direct summands. For a subset

M of objects of $\mathcal{D}$ we denote by $\langle M \rangle$ the smallest full thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ containing the elements of M . Furthermore, we define $M^\perp$ to be the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of all objects C such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(E[i], C) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all elements E of M . We say that M *generates* $\mathcal{D}$ if $M^\perp = 0$. Now assume $\mathcal{D}$ admits arbitrary direct sums. An object F is called *compact* if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F, -)$ commutes with direct sums. Denoting by $\mathcal{D}^c$ the subcategory of compact objects we say that $\mathcal{D}$ is *compactly generated* if the objects of $\mathcal{D}^c$ generate $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a compactly generated triangulated category. Then a set of objects $A \subset \mathcal{D}^c$ generates $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\langle A \rangle = \mathcal{D}^c$ (see [13], Theorem 2.1.2).

Let G be a finite group acting on a smooth projective variety X over a field k and assume $\mathrm{char}(k) \nmid \mathrm{ord}(G)$. The *equivariant derived category*, denoted by $D_G^b(X)$, is defined to be $D^b(\mathrm{Coh}_G(X))$. For details see for instance [28], Section 2. For any two objects $\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D_G^b(X)$ we write $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) := \mathrm{Hom}_{D_G^b(X)}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet)$. As $\mathrm{char}(k) \nmid \mathrm{ord}(G)$, the functor $(-)^G$ is exact and one has

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet[i]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet[i])^G.$$

Recall, that for every subgroup $H \subset G$, the restriction functor $\mathrm{Res}: D_G^b(X) \rightarrow D_H^b(X)$ has the inflation functor $\mathrm{Inf}: D_H^b(X) \rightarrow D_G^b(X)$ as a left and right adjoint, see [15], Section 3. It is given for $\mathcal{A} \in D_H^b(X)$ by

$$\mathrm{Inf}_H^G(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{[g] \in H \backslash G} g^* \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition 3.1. Let A be a division algebra over k , not necessarily central. An object $\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in D_G^b(X)$ is called *weak exceptional* if $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathcal{E}^\bullet) = A$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet[r]) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$. If $A = k$ the object is called *exceptional*.

Definition 3.2. A totally ordered set $\{\mathcal{E}_1^\bullet, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n^\bullet\}$ of weak exceptional objects in $D_G^b(X)$ is called a *weak exceptional collection* if $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{E}_i^\bullet, \mathcal{E}_j^\bullet[r]) = 0$ for all integers r whenever $i > j$. A weak exceptional collection is *full* if $\langle \{\mathcal{E}_1^\bullet, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n^\bullet\} \rangle = D_G^b(X)$ and *strong* if $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{E}_i^\bullet, \mathcal{E}_j^\bullet[r]) = 0$ whenever $r \neq 0$. If the set $\{\mathcal{E}_1^\bullet, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n^\bullet\}$ consists of exceptional objects it is called *exceptional collection*.

A generalization of the notion of a full weak exceptional collection is that of a semiorthogonal decomposition of $D_G^b(X)$. Recall that a full triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ of $D_G^b(X)$ is called *admissible* if the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow D_G^b(X)$ has a left and right adjoint functor.

Definition 3.3. Let X be a smooth projective variety over k . A sequence $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ of full triangulated subcategories of $D_G^b(X)$ is called *semiorthogonal* if all $\mathcal{A}_i \subset D_G^b(X)$ are admissible and $\mathcal{A}_j \subset \mathcal{A}_i^\perp = \{\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D_G^b(X) \mid \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{G}^\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0, \forall \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathcal{A}_i\}$ for $i > j$. Such a sequence defines a *semiorthogonal decomposition* of $D_G^b(X)$ if the smallest full thick subcategory containing all $\mathcal{A}_i$ equals $D_G^b(X)$.

For a semiorthogonal decomposition we write $D_G^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$.

4. DESCENT FOR VECTOR BUNDLES

Let X be a proper k -variety and $\mathcal{W}$ an indecomposable vector bundle on $X \otimes_k k^s$. A vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ on X is called *pure of type* $\mathcal{W}$ if $\mathcal{V} \otimes_k k^s \simeq \mathcal{W}^{\oplus m}$, see [3]. We say $\mathcal{V}$ is *pure* if it is pure of type $\mathcal{L}$ for a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. Note that $\mathcal{L}$ is $G_s := \mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ invariant. Recall the Brauer obstruction for invariant line bundles on smooth proper geometrically integral k -varieties X . The sequence of low degree terms of the Leray spectral sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X_{k^s})^{G_s} \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X).$$

It is well-known that for proper varieties over k one has $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{k^s})^{G_s} \simeq \mathrm{Pic}_{(X/k)(\mathrm{et})}(k)$. Recall from [24] that a field extension L/k is called *splitting field* for $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}_{(X/k)(\mathrm{et})}(k)$ if $\mathcal{L} \otimes_k L$ lies in $\mathrm{Pic}(X \otimes_k L)$. Moreover, the class $\mathcal{L}$ is called *globally generated* if there is a

splitting field K of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes_k L$ is globally generated. The following result will be applied in Section 6.

Theorem 4.1 ([24], Theorem 1.1). *Let X be a proper variety over a field k and P a Brauer–Severi variety. Then there exists a morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow P$ if and only if there is a globally generated $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}_{(X/k)(\text{et})}(k)$ with $d(\mathcal{L}) = [P] \in \text{Br}(k)$.*

5. NONCOMMUTATIVE MOTIVES OF CENTRAL SIMPLE AND SEPARABLE ALGEBRAS

We refer to [33] and [25] for a survey on noncommutative motives. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a small dg category. The homotopy category $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ and as morphisms $H^0(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y))$. A source of examples is provided by schemes since the derived category of perfect complexes $\text{perf}(X)$ of any quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme X admits a canonical dg enhancement $\text{perf}_{dg}(X)$ (for details see [17]). Denote by **dgcat** the category of small dg categories. The *opposite* dg category $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{op}}(x, y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(y, x)$. A *right $\mathcal{A}$ -module* is a dg functor $\mathcal{A}^{op} \rightarrow C_{dg}(k)$ with values in the dg category $C_{dg}(k)$ of complexes of k -vector spaces. We write $C(\mathcal{A})$ for the category of right $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. Recall from [17] that the *derived category* $D(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is the localization of $C(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to quasi-isomorphisms. A dg functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called *derived Morita equivalence* if the restriction of scalars functor $D(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{A})$ is an equivalence. The *tensor product* $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ of two dg categories is defined as follows: the set of objects is the cartesian product of the sets of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}((x, w), (y, z)) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(w, z)$ (see [17]). Given two dg categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, let $\text{rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be the full triangulated subcategory of $D(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ consisting of those $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodules M such that $M(x, -)$ is a compact object of $D(\mathcal{B})$ for every object $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Now there is an additive symmetric monoidal category Hmo_0 with objects being small dg categories and morphisms being

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Hmo}_0}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq K_0(\text{rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})).$$

To any such small dg category $\mathcal{A}$ one can associate functorially its *noncommutative motive* $U(\mathcal{A})$ which takes values in Hmo_0 . This functor $U: \mathbf{dgcat} \rightarrow \text{Hmo}_0$ is proved to be the *universal additive invariant* (see [33]). Recall from [36] that an additive invariant is any functor $E: \mathbf{dgcat} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ taking values in an additive category $\mathcal{D}$ such that

- (i) it sends derived Morita equivalences to isomorphisms,
- (ii) for any pre-triangulated dg category $\mathcal{A}$ admitting full pre-triangulated dg subcategories $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ such that $H^0(\mathcal{A}) = \langle H^0(\mathcal{B}), H^0(\mathcal{C}) \rangle$ is a semiorthogonal decomposition, the morphism $E(\mathcal{B}) \oplus E(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{A})$ induced by the inclusions is an isomorphism.

For central simple k -algebras one has the following comparison theorem.

Theorem 5.1 ([35], Theorem 2.19). *Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ and $B_1, \dots, B_m$ be central simple k -algebras, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There is an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n U(A_i) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^m U(B_j).$$

- (ii) *The equality $n = m$ holds and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all p*

$$[B_i^p] = [A_{\sigma_p(i)}^p] \in \text{Br}(k)$$

for some permutations σ_p depending on p .

Later, we also need the following result.

Proposition 5.2 ([37], Proposition 4.5). *Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ and $B_1, \dots, B_m$ be central simple k -algebras, and NM a noncommutative motive. If*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n U(A_i) \oplus NM \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^m U(B_j) \oplus NM,$$

then $n = m$ and $U(A_1) \oplus \dots \oplus U(A_n) \simeq U(B_1) \oplus \dots \oplus U(B_m)$.

6. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

Lemma 6.1. *Let X be a proper variety over a field k with $H^0(X^s, \mathcal{O}_{X^s}) = k^s$. If $\mathcal{V}$ is a pure vector bundle on X , then $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ is a central simple k -algebra.*

Proof. As $\mathcal{V}$ is pure, there is a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X \otimes_k k^s)$ such that $\mathcal{V} \otimes_k k^s \simeq \mathcal{L}^{\oplus m}$. The assertion then follows from the following isomorphisms

$$\text{End}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_k k^s \simeq \text{End}(\mathcal{L}^{\oplus m}) \simeq \text{End}(\mathcal{O}_{X^s}^{\oplus m}) \simeq M_m(k^s).$$

□

Proposition 6.2. *Let X be a projective variety over a field k with $H^0(X^s, \mathcal{O}_{X^s}) = k^s$. Suppose $\mathcal{V}$ is a pure vector bundle on X . Then there is a morphism $X \rightarrow \text{BS}(\text{End}(\mathcal{V}))$.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{V} \otimes_k k^s \simeq \mathcal{L}^{\oplus m}$ for some line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X \otimes_k k^s)$ and Lemma 6.1 shows that $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ is isomorphic to a central simple k -algebra A . Let E/k be a finite Galois extension within k^s over which $\mathcal{V}$ is defined, i.e. over which there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{N} \otimes_E k^s$. This implies $\mathcal{V} \otimes_k E \simeq \mathcal{N}^{\oplus m}$. So we restrict to $X \otimes_k E$. On $X \otimes_k E$ we can find an ample line bundle $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M}' = \bigotimes_{g \in G} g^* \mathcal{M}$ is a $G := \text{Gal}(E/k)$ -equivariant ample line bundle on $X \otimes_k E$. Note that for a suitable $n > 0$, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}'^{\otimes n}$ is globally generated. From Section 4 we know $\mathcal{L}' \in \text{Pic}_{(X/k)(\text{et})}(k)$. Since $\mathcal{M}'^{\otimes n}$ descends to a line bundle $\mathcal{R}$ on X , we conclude that there is a vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$ on X such that $\mathcal{W} \otimes_k E \simeq \mathcal{L}'^{\oplus m}$. As $(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{R}) \otimes_k E \simeq \mathcal{L}'^{\oplus m}$, [39], Lemma 2.3 gives us $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{R} \simeq \mathcal{W}$ and hence $\text{End}(\mathcal{W}) \simeq \text{End}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq A$. Theorem 4.1 provides us with a morphism $X \rightarrow \text{BS}(A)$. □

Theorem 6.3. *Let X be a projective variety over a field k with $H^0(X^s, \mathcal{O}_{X^s}) = k^s$ and assume $D^b(X)$ admits a full weak exceptional collection of pure vector bundles. If $X(k) \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_r$ the full weak exceptional collection for $D^b(X)$. As all $\mathcal{V}_i$ are pure, Proposition 6.2 provides us with morphisms $X \rightarrow \text{BS}(A_i)$, where $A_i = \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_i)$. If X admits a k -rational point, the Lang–Nishimura Theorem implies the existence of k -rational points on $\text{BS}(A_i)$. Therefore all $\text{BS}(A_i)$ are split. From this we conclude that $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{L}_i^{\oplus m_i}$ for some line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i \in \text{Pic}(X)$. But then $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r\}$ forms a full exceptional collection in $D^b(X)$. Finally, Proposition 6.1.6 in [9] yields $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$. □

Proposition 6.4. *Let X be a Brauer–Severi variety over a field k of characteristic zero corresponding to A and $S^l(X)$ its symmetric power. Denote by X_l the generalized Brauer–Severi variety associated to A and let $l < \deg(A)$ be arbitrary. If $\text{rdim}(X_l) = 0$, then $S^l(X)(k) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. According to [21], Theorem 1.5 there is a birational map $X_l \times \mathbb{P}^{l(l-1)} \dashrightarrow S^l(X)$. If $\text{rdim}(X_l) = 0$, then [29], Theorem 6.6 implies X_l is a Grassmannian. Therefore $X_l(k) \neq \emptyset$. From the Lang–Nishimura Theorem we conclude $S^l(X)(k) \neq \emptyset$. □

Theorem 6.5. *Let X be a Brauer–Severi variety over $\mathbb{R}$. Then $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$ admits a full weak exceptional collection.*

Proof. We start with $\dim(X) = 1$. Then $S^n(X)$ is smooth for any integer $n > 0$ and $S^n(X) \otimes_k k^s \simeq S^n(\mathbb{P}^1) \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$. Therefore $S^n(X)$ is a Brauer–Severi variety which has a full weak exceptional collection over any field k (see [31], Example 1.17). We now prove the assertion for X being non-split. We will see that the split case can be proved in the same way.

So let X be a Brauer–Severi variety of dimension $2r - 1 \geq 2$ corresponding to the central simple algebra $M_r(\mathbb{H})$. Note that the period of X is two. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_X(2)$ exists in $\text{Pic}(X)$ (see [4]). From [27], Section 6 we know that there is an indecomposable vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_1$ on X such that $\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes_k k^s \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)^{\oplus 2}$. If X is split, $\mathcal{V}_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)$. This vector bundle is unique up to isomorphism and $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_1)$ is isomorphic to the central division algebra D for which $M_r(D)$ corresponds to X . So if X is split, $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_1) \simeq \mathbb{R}$. Otherwise, we have $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_1) \simeq \mathbb{H}$. Moreover, it is well-known that the collection

$$(1) \quad \{\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{O}_X(2), \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}_X(2(r-1)), \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(2(r-1))\}$$

is a full weak exceptional collection in $D^b(X)$, see [31], Example 1.17. For simplicity, let us denote the collection (1) by

$$\{\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots, \mathcal{V}_{2(r-1)}, \mathcal{V}_{2r-1}\}.$$

For every multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2r-1\}^n$ we write

$$\mathcal{V}(\alpha) := \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_{\alpha_n}.$$

This is a vector bundle on X^n and it is easy to check that the collection consisting of the $\mathcal{V}(\alpha)$, ordered by the lexicographical order, generates $D^b(X^n)$. Now we want to reorder the sequence consisting of these $\mathcal{V}(\alpha)$. So for a multi-index α , we follow [22], Section 4 and write for the unique non-decreasing representative of its S_n orbit $\text{nd}(\alpha)$. Then one can define a total order $\triangleleft$ on $\{0, 1, \dots, 2r-1\}^n$ by

$$\alpha \triangleleft \beta \iff \begin{cases} \text{nd}(\alpha) <_{\text{lex}} \text{nd}(\beta) & \text{or} \\ \text{nd}(\alpha) = \text{nd}(\beta) & \text{and } \alpha <_{\text{lex}} \beta \end{cases}$$

where $<_{\text{lex}}$ stands for the lexicographical order on $\{0, 1, \dots, 2r-1\}^n$. For details we refer to [22]. Now the group S_n acts transitively on the blocks consisting of all $\mathcal{V}(\alpha)$ with fixed $\text{nd}(\alpha)$ because $\sigma^* \mathcal{V}(\alpha) \simeq \mathcal{V}(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \alpha)$. Furthermore, any $\mathcal{V}(\alpha)$ has a canonical $\text{Stab}(\alpha)$ -linearization given by permutation of the factors in the box product. If α is a non-decreasing multi-index and $V_i^{(\alpha)}$ an irreducible representation of $H_\alpha := \text{Stab}(\alpha)$, we can get a full weak exceptional collection out of the collection consisting of the vector bundles $\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})$. To get this exceptional collection, we first consider $\text{End}_{S_n}(\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)}))$. In particular, the proof of Theorem 2.12 in [14] and the Künneth-formula show that there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{S_n}(\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{H_\alpha}(\text{Res}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n} \text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)}), \mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{H_\alpha}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)}) \\ &\simeq (\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_n}) \otimes \text{End}(V_i^{(\alpha)}))^{H_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The case $n = 1$ is clear, since the Brauer–Severi variety X admits a full weak exceptional collection (see [31], Example 1.17). For $n > 1$ we notice that the endomorphism ring of any irreducible representation of a finite group over $\mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ according to Schur’s Lemma and a theorem of Frobenius. From the construction of the collection (1) we see that $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_n})$ must be isomorphic to either $M_s(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_t(\mathbb{H})$ for suitable positive integers s and t . Therefore, the finite-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra

$$\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_n}) \otimes \text{End}(V_i^{(\alpha)})$$

must be isomorphic to $M_{s'}(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_{t'}(\mathbb{H})$ for suitable positive integers s' and t' . Since S_n , and hence H_α , acts on $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_n}) \otimes \text{End}(V_i^{(\alpha)})$ by automorphism and any

automorphism of a central simple algebra is inner, [26], Corollary 2.13 implies that there are simple rings $A_1, \dots, A_{l(\alpha, i)}$ such that

$$(\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_n}) \otimes \text{End}(V_i^{(\alpha)}))^{H_\alpha} \simeq A_1 \times \dots \times A_{l(\alpha, i)}$$

Clearly, the rings $A_1, \dots, A_{l(\alpha, i)}$ must be finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras. Below we have to deal with positive integers $l(\alpha, i)$, $m(\alpha, i)_j$ and $h(\alpha, i)$, depending on α and i . For a better readability, we simply write l, m_j and h . As $\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})$ is a S_n -equivariant vector bundle, we apply the Krull-Schmidt Theorem to decompose it into a direct sum of indecomposables in the category of equivariant coherent sheaves $\text{Coh}_{S_n}(X^n)$. Let

$$\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)}) = T(\alpha, i)_1^{\oplus m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T(\alpha, i)_h^{\oplus m_h}$$

be this decomposition. We have seen above that

$$\text{End}_{S_n}(T(\alpha, i)_1^{\oplus m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T(\alpha, i)_h^{\oplus m_h}) \simeq A_1 \times \dots \times A_l.$$

This implies $h = l$ and $\text{End}_{S_n}(T(\alpha, i)_j^{\oplus m_j}) \simeq A_j$. Combining a theorem of Frobenius with the Wedderburn Theorem, we obtain that A_j is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. We claim that for non-decreasing α , the collection of blocks $\{T(\alpha, i)_1, \dots, T(\alpha, i)_l\}$ forms a full weak exceptional collection. That the collection of blocks $\{T(\alpha, i)_1, \dots, T(\alpha, i)_l\}$ generates $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$ follows from the fact that the collection consisting of vector bundles $\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})$ generates $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$. The argument for this fact is part of the proof of Theorem 2.12 in [14]. For convenience of the reader, we recall the argument. Take any $\mathcal{F} \in D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$, $\mathcal{F} \neq 0$. As mentioned before, the collection consisting of the $\mathcal{V}(\alpha)$ generates $D^b(X^n)$. So for some p and α we will have $\text{Hom}^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}(\alpha)) \neq 0$. Hence $\text{RHom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}(\alpha)) \neq 0$. Denote by V the object $\text{RHom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}(\alpha))^*$. Then because $\text{RHom}(-, \mathcal{V}(\alpha))^*$ and $F_{\mathcal{V}(\alpha)}$ are adjoint, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{S_n}(\mathcal{F}, \text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{H_\alpha}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{H_\alpha}(\text{RHom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V}(\alpha))^*, V) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{H_\alpha}(V, V) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the collection of vector bundles $\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})$, and therefore the collection of blocks $\{T(\alpha, i)_1, \dots, T(\alpha, i)_l\}$, generates $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$. So it remains to show that any $T(\alpha, i)_j$ is a weak exceptional object and the collection of blocks $\{T(\alpha, i)_1, \dots, T(\alpha, i)_l\}$ forms a weak exceptional collection. Note that $\text{End}_{S_n}(T(\alpha, i)_j)$ is a division algebra by construction.

The proof of Theorem 2.12 in [14] shows

$$(2) \quad \text{Ext}^d(\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)}), \text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})) = 0, \text{ for } d > 0.$$

Therefore $\text{Ext}^d(T(\alpha, i)_j, T(\alpha, i)_j) = 0$ for $d > 0$. This yields that $T(\alpha, i)_j$ is a weak exceptional object. From (2) we can also conclude that within a block $\{T(\alpha, i)_1, \dots, T(\alpha, i)_l\}$, the following holds:

$$\text{Ext}^d(T(\alpha, i)_a, T(\alpha, i)_b) = 0, \text{ for } d > 0$$

if $a > b$. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 2.12 in *loc.cit.* also shows

$$\text{Ext}^d(\text{Inf}_{H_\beta}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\beta) \otimes V_j^{(\alpha)}), \text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})) = 0, \text{ for } d > 0$$

whenever $\alpha \triangleleft \beta$. But this implies

$$\text{Ext}^d(T(\beta, j)_a, T(\alpha, i)_b) = 0, \text{ for } d > 0$$

whenever $\alpha \triangleleft \beta$. This shows that the collection of blocks $\{T(\alpha, i)_1, \dots, T(\alpha, i)_l\}$ forms a full weak exceptional collection.

If X is split, i.e. isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^m$, one can repeat the above argument with the collection

$$(3) \quad \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(m-1), \mathcal{O}(m)\}.$$

Denote this collection by $\{\mathcal{E}_0, \dots, \mathcal{E}_m\}$ and consider multi-indices $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}^n$. Again, we write

$$\mathcal{E}(\alpha) := \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_n}.$$

Since the collection (3) is a full exceptional collection for $D^b(\mathbb{P}^m)$ and $(-)^{H_\alpha}$ is exact, we have

$$\text{End}_{S_n}(\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})) \simeq (\text{End}(V_i^{(\alpha)}))^{H_\alpha} \simeq \text{End}_{H_\alpha}(V_i^{(\alpha)}).$$

Note that $\text{End}_{H_\alpha}(V_i^{(\alpha)})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Now repeat the above arguments to conclude that the collection of vector bundles $\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})$ forms a full weak exceptional collection for $D_{S_n}^b(\mathbb{P}^m)^n$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 6.6. *Let X be a Brauer–Severi variety over $\mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq n \leq 3$. We set $\mathcal{T} := D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$. If $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$, then $\text{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$.*

Proof. The case $n = 1$ is clear, since $S^1(X) = X$ and the Brauer–Severi variety X admits a full weak exceptional collection. For $n = 2$, we see that H_α must be isomorphic to either the trivial group or to S_2 itself. In both cases $\text{End}_{H_\alpha}(V_i^{(\alpha)}) \simeq \mathbb{R}$. It remains to consider $n = 3$. The possible subgroups of S_3 are the trivial group, S_2 , A_3 and S_3 itself. If H_α is either S_2 or S_3 , [32], Theorem 4.1 implies $\text{End}_{H_\alpha}(V_i^{(\alpha)}) \simeq \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, the representation theory of A_3 over $\mathbb{R}$ is well-known and gives that $\text{End}_{A_3}(V_i^{(\alpha)})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. If $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$, [29], Proposition 5.1 shows that X must be split. Therefore, X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^m$ and we can consider the full exceptional collection (3) from above

$$\{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(m-1), \mathcal{O}(m)\}.$$

Denote this collection by $\{\mathcal{E}_0, \dots, \mathcal{E}_m\}$ and consider multi-indices $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}^n$ as in the proof of Theorem 6.5. Again, we write

$$\mathcal{E}(\alpha) := \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_n}.$$

Since the collection (3) is a full exceptional collection for $D^b(\mathbb{P}^m)$, we have

$$\text{End}_{S_n}(\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})) \simeq \text{End}_{H_\alpha}(V_i^{(\alpha)}) \simeq \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}.$$

Now the proof of Theorem 6.5 shows that the collection consisting of the vector bundles $\text{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_n}(\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})$ forms a full weak exceptional collection and gives therefore rise to a semiorthogonal decomposition. Since $\text{rdim}(D^b(\mathbb{C})) = \text{rdim}(D^b(\mathbb{R})) = 0$ (see [9], Proposition 6.1.6), we finally obtain $\text{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 6.7. *Let X be a Brauer–Severi variety over $\mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq n \leq 3$. We set $\mathcal{T} := D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$ if and only if $\text{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$.
- (ii) $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\text{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$.

Proof. For $n = 1$, (i) and (ii) is the content of [29], Theorem 6.3. Note that $\mathcal{T} = D^b(X)$ and hence $\text{rdim}(X) = \text{rdim}(\mathcal{T})$. So we can consider $2 \leq n \leq 3$. If $\dim(X) = 1$, $S^n(X) \otimes_k k^s \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ and therefore $S^n(X)$ is a Brauer–Severi variety. Again, (i) and (ii) follows from [29], Theorem 6.3. Now we assume $\dim(X) > 1$. For $n = 2$, we consider $\alpha = (1, 2)$ and see $\text{Stab}(\alpha) = \{\text{id}\}$. Analogously, for $n = 3$ we consider $\alpha = (0, 1, 2)$ and observe $\text{Stab}(\alpha) = \{\text{id}\}$. So in both of these cases we have

$$(4) \quad \text{End}_{S_n}(\text{Inf}_{\{\text{id}\}}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})) \simeq \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_1).$$

Recall, that $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_1)$ is isomorphic to the central division algebra D for which $M_r(D)$ corresponds to X . Now we prove (i) for $\dim(X) > 1$ and $2 \leq n \leq 3$. Assume $\text{rdim}(X) = 0$.

Then Corollary 6.6 gives $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$, the derived category $\mathcal{T} = D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$ must have a semiorthogonal decomposition of the form

$$(5) \quad D_{S_n}^b(X^n) = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_e \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{A}_i \simeq D^b(\mathbb{R}, K_i)$ and K_i being étale $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, see [9], Proposition 6.1.6. We remark that $K_i \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\times n_i} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times m_i}$. Now [8], Lemma 1.16 implies

$$D^b(\mathbb{R}, K_i) \simeq D^b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})^{\times n_i} \times D^b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})^{\times m_i}.$$

Therefore we get a semiorthogonal decomposition given by

$$D_{S_n}^b(X^n) = \langle \mathcal{G}_i^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{G}_i^{(n_i)}, \mathcal{F}_i^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_i^{(m_i)} \rangle_{i=1, \dots, e}$$

where $\mathrm{End}_{S_n}(\mathcal{G}_i^{(l)}) \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{End}_{S_n}(\mathcal{F}_i^{(l)}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Now Theorem 6.5 states that $\mathcal{T}$ admits a full weak exceptional collection and its proof in particular shows that the endomorphism algebras of the weak exceptional vector bundles involved are isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, considered as (simple) $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras. Notice that one of the vector bundles occurring in the full weak exceptional collection is $\mathrm{Inf}_{\{\mathrm{id}\}}^{S_n}(\mathcal{V}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})$ of (4). It is indecomposable, since its endomorphism algebra is a central division algebra over $\mathbb{R}$.

Now let d be the number of vector bundles within the full weak exceptional collection with endomorphism algebra being isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ and r the number of the remaining exceptional vector bundles. We denote the full weak exceptional collection given by Theorem 6.5 simply by

$$(6) \quad D_{S_n}^b(X^n) = \{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{r+d}\}.$$

The rank of the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{T})$ equals $r+d$, i.e. $K_0(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus(r+d)}$. Note that $r+d = \sum_{i=1}^e (n_i + m_i)$. For a S_n -equivariant object $\mathcal{V} \in D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$ with $\mathrm{End}_{S_n}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$, considered as an $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra, we obtain after base change $\mathrm{End}_{S_n}(\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and hence

$$(7) \quad \langle \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \rangle \simeq D^b(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \times D^b(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}).$$

For $\mathcal{E} \in D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$, we write $\bar{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \in D_{S_n}^b(X_{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ for the equivariant object after scalar extension. We obtain semiorthogonal decompositions

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{T}' := D_{S_n}^b(X_{\mathbb{C}}^n) = \langle \bar{\mathcal{G}}_i^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{\mathcal{G}}_i^{(n_i)}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i^{(m_i)} \rangle_{i=1, \dots, e}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{T}' = D_{S_n}^b(X_{\mathbb{C}}^n) = \langle \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{E}}_2, \dots, \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{r+d} \rangle$$

Now (7) and (9) tell us that after base change to $\mathbb{C}$ the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{T}')$ has rank $r+2d$. The semiorthogonal decomposition (8) then implies $r+2d = \sum_{i=1}^e (n_i + 2m_i)$. Since $r+d = \sum_{i=1}^e (n_i + m_i)$, we find $d = \sum_{i=1}^e m_i$. Note that $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$ admits a unique dg-enhancement, denoted by ${}_{dg}D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$. In fact this follows from the results in [15] and the well-known fact that $D^b(X)$ admits a unique dg-enhancement. Alternatively see [10], since $[X^n/S_n]$ is a Deligne–Mumford stack and $D^b([X^n/S_n]) \simeq D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$. As explained in (4), there is at least one bundle within the full weak exceptional collection in $D_{S_n}^b(X^n)$ whose endomorphism algebra is isomorphic to the central simple $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra corresponding to X . The above semiorthogonal decompositions (5) and (6) show that the noncommutative motive $U({}_{dg}D_{S_n}^b(X^n))$ decomposes as

$$(10) \quad \bigoplus_{j=1}^r U(A_j) \oplus U(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus d} \simeq U({}_{dg}D_{S_n}^b(X^n)) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^e (U(\mathbb{R})^{\oplus n_i} \oplus U(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus m_i}),$$

with A_j being central simple $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras. As mentioned before, there exists a $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that A_{j_0} is the central simple algebra corresponding to X . Since $d = \sum_{i=1}^e m_i$,

Proposition 5.2 implies

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^r U(A_j) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^e U(\mathbb{R})^{\oplus n_i}.$$

Then Theorem 5.1 yields that X is split, i.e. $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\dim(X)}$. From the well-known fact that the projective space admits a full exceptional collection we conclude $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$.

Now we prove (ii). If $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$, [29], Theorem 6.3 implies $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$. Now (i) gives $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$, we conclude from (i) and [29], Proposition 5.1 that X admits a full exceptional collection. But then [29], Theorem 6.3 implies $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

Remark 6.8. The proof of Theorem 6.7 in particular shows that the implication $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ holds for arbitrary positive integers n . We believe that the other implication does not hold for arbitrary n .

It is worth to mention that if X is split (and admits a full exceptional collection), $D_{S_3}^b(X^3)$ cannot have a full exceptional collection. Indeed, as mentioned in the proof of Corollary 6.6 there is at least one bundle $\mathrm{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_3}(\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})$ within the full weak exceptional collection such that $\mathrm{End}_{S_3}(\mathrm{Inf}_{H_\alpha}^{S_3}(\mathcal{E}(\alpha) \otimes V_i^{(\alpha)})) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. The existence of a full exceptional collection in $D_{S_3}^b(X^3)$ would give a decomposition of the noncommutative motive as

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^r U(\mathbb{R}) \oplus U(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus d} \simeq U(d_g D_{S_3}^b(X^3)) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^e U(\mathbb{R}),$$

which is impossible. This follows also from considering the rank of the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{T})$.

Corollary 6.9. *Let X be a Brauer–Severi variety over $\mathbb{R}$ corresponding to A and assume $3 < \deg(A)$. Then $S^3(X)(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let X_n be the generalized Brauer–Severi variety associated to A . From [21], Theorem 1.5 we know that $S^3(X)$ is birational to $X_3 \times \mathbb{P}^6$. Now X_n admits a $\mathbb{R}$ -rational point if and only if $\mathrm{ind}(A)$ divides n , see [19], Proposition 1.17. Our assumption $S^3(X)(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ therefore implies $\mathrm{ind}(A) = 1$ and hence X_3 must be a Grassmannian over $\mathbb{R}$. One can show that this implies $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ and hence A must be split. But then $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$ and Theorem 6.7 gives $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ implies $\mathrm{rdim}(X) = 0$. From [29], Proposition 5.1 we conclude that X , and so A , must be split. Therefore X_3 is a Grassmannian and the Lang–Nishimura Theorem provides us with a $\mathbb{R}$ -rational point on $S^3(X)$. $\square$

Proposition 6.10. *Let C be a non-split Brauer–Severi curve over the field $\mathbb{R}$ and $2 \leq n \leq 3$. We set $\mathcal{T} := D_{S_n}^b(C^n)$. Then $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 1$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.5 as the endomorphism algebras of the weak exceptional vector bundles involved are isomorphic to either $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Since $\mathrm{rdim}(D^b(\mathbb{C})) = \mathrm{rdim}(D^b(\mathbb{R})) = 0$ according to [9], Proposition 6.1.6 and $\mathrm{rdim}(D^b(\mathbb{H})) = 1$ according to [9], Proposition 6.1.10, we obtain $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 1$. Notice that $\mathrm{rdim}(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ would imply $\mathrm{rdim}(C) = 0$ by Theorem 6.7 which is impossible for C being non-split. $\square$

Remark 6.11. The implication in Proposition 6.10 is not an equivalence. Take for instance a non-split Brauer–Severi curve C over $\mathbb{R}$. Then $S^2(C)$ is birational to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Therefore, $S^2(C)(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$, whereas $\mathrm{rdim}(D_{S_2}^b(C^2)) = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ananyevskiy, A. Auel, S. Garibaldi and N. Zainoulline: Exceptional collections of line bundles on projective homogeneous varieties. *Adv. Math.* 236 (2013), 111-130.
- [2] B. Antieau, D. Krashen and M. Ward: Derived categories of torsors for abelian schemes. *arXiv:1409.2580 [math.AG]* (2014).
- [3] J.K. Arason, R. Elman and B. Jacob: On indecomposable vector bundles. *Comm. Algebra* Vol. 20 (1992), 1323-1351.
- [4] M. Artin: Brauer–Severi varieties. Brauer groups in ring theory and algebraic geometry, *Lecture Notes in Math.* Vol. 917, Notes by A. Verschoren, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag (1982), 194-210.
- [5] K. Ascher, K. Dasaratha, R. Perry and R. Zhou: Derived equivalences and rational points of twisted K3 surfaces. *arXiv:1506.01374 [math.AG]* (2015).
- [6] A. Auel, M. Bernardara and M. Bolognesi: Fibrations in complete intersections of quadrics, Clifford algebras, derived categories, and rationality problems. *Journal de Math. Pures et Appliquées* 102 (2014), 249-291.
- [7] A. Auel, M. Bernardara, M. Bolognesi and A. Várilly-Alvarado: Cubic fourfolds containing a plane and a quintic del Pezzo surface. *Compositio Algebraic Geometry* 2 (2014), 181-193.
- [8] A. Auel and M. Bernardara: Semiorthogonal decompositions and birational geometry of del Pezzo surfaces over arbitrary fields. *arXiv:1511.07576v1 [math.AG]* (2015).
- [9] A. Auel and M. Bernardara: Cycles, derived categories, and rationality. *arXiv:1612.02415v1 [math.AG]* (2016).
- [10] D. Bergh, V.A. Lunts and O.M. Schnürer: Geometricity for derived categories of algebraic stacks. *arXiv:1601.04465v2 [math.AG]* (2016).
- [11] M. Bernardara and M. Bolognesi: Categorical representability and intermediate Jacobians of Fano threefolds. *EMS Ser. Congr. Rep.*, Eur. Math. Soc. (2012), 1-25
- [12] M. Bernardara and G. Tabuada: Relations between the Chow motive and the noncommutative motive of a smooth projective variety. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 219 (2015), 5068-5077.
- [13] A.I. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh: Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry. *Mosc. Math. J.* Vol. 3 (2003), 1-36.
- [14] A. Elagin: Semi-orthogonal decompositions for derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves. *Izv. Math.* 73 (2009), 893-920.
- [15] A. Elagin: On equivariant triangulated categories. *arXiv:1403.7027v2 [math.AG]* (2015).
- [16] P. Gille and T. Szamuely: Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology. *Cambridge Studies in advanced Mathematics.* 101. Cambridge University Press. (2006)
- [17] B. Keller: On differential graded categories. *International Congress of Mathematicians (Madrid)*, Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc. (2006), 151-190.
- [18] B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel: Rational points on K3 surfaces and derived equivalence. *arXiv:1411.6259 [math.AG]* (2014).
- [19] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost and J.-P. Tignol: The book of involutions. *Colloquium Publications*, vol. 44, AMS (1998), 16,17,26,28,44,49.
- [20] J. Kollár: Symmetric powers of Severi–Brauer varieties. *arXiv:1603.02104v1 [math.AG]* (2016).
- [21] D. Krashen and D. Saltman: Severi–Brauer varieties and symmetric powers. *Algebraic transformation groups and algebraic varieties*, *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.* 132, Springer, Berlin (2004), 59–70.
- [22] A. Krug and P. Sosna: On the derived category of the Hilbert scheme of points on an Enriques surface. *Sel. Math. New Ser.* 21 (2015), 1339-1360.
- [23] M. Lieblich and M. Olsson: Fourier–Mukai partners of K3 surfaces in positive characteristic. *Ann. Sci. Ecole. Norm. S.* 48 (2015), 1001-1033.
- [24] Ch. Liedtke: Morphisms to Brauer–Severi varieties, with applications to del Pezzo surfaces. *arXiv:1602.07491v2 [math.AG]* (2016).
- [25] M. Marcolli and G. Tabuada: Noncommutative motives and their applications. *arXiv:1311.2867v2 [math.AG]* (2013).
- [26] S. Montgomery: Fixed rings of finite automorphism groups of associative rings. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 818, Springer, Berlin (1980).

- [27] S. Novaković: Absolutely split locally free sheaves on proper k -schemes and Brauer–Severi varieties. arXiv:1501.00859v1 [math.AG] (2015).
- [28] S. Novaković: Tilting objects on some global quotient stacks. arXiv:1503.00522v3 [math.AG] (2015), to appear in Journal of Commutative Algebra.
- [29] S. Novaković: Non-existence of exceptional collections on twisted flags and categorical representability via noncommutative motives. arXiv:1607.01043v1 [math.AG] (2016).
- [30] S. Novaković: On non-existence of full exceptional collections on some relative flags. arXiv:1607.04834v1 [math.AG] (2016).
- [31] D. Orlov: Smooth and proper noncommutative schemes and gluing of DG categories. arXiv:1402.7364v5 [math.AG] (2015).
- [32] M.H. Peel: Specht modules and symmetric groups. J. Algebra 36 (1975), 88-97.
- [33] G. Tabuada: A guided tour through the garden of noncommutative motives. Clay Math. Proc. 16 (2012), 259-276.
- [34] G. Tabuada: Chow motives versus noncommutative motives. J. Noncommut. Geom. Vol. 7 (2013), 767-786.
- [35] G. Tabuada and M. Van den Bergh: Noncommutative motives of separable algebras. Adv. Math. 303 (2016), 1122-1161.
- [36] G. Tabuada: Additive invariants of toric and twisted projective homogeneous varieties via noncommutative motives. J. Algebra Vol. 417 (2014), 1538.
- [37] G. Tabuada: Jacques Tits’ motivic measure. arXiv:1604.06407v1 [math.AG] (2016).
- [38] C. Vial: Exceptional collections, and the Néron–Severi lattice for surfaces. Advances in Math. 305 (2017), 895934.
- [39] R. Wiegand: Torsion in Picard Groups of Affine Rings. Contemp. Math. Vol 159 (1994), 433-444.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, HEINRICH–HEINE–UNIVERSITÄT 40225 DÜSSELDORF,
GERMANY

E-mail adress: novakovic@math.uni-duesseldorf.de